Tools ∨   Saved at 3:17 PM   Draft   🔍   Preview   Publish

Faculty Handbook Survey, Spring 2025   💡 ExpertReview score   Fair

▾   Default Question Block

⊕

Q1   •••

Faculty Evaluation System Survey

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey regarding the current faculty
evaluation system as outlined in Faculty Handbook Chapter 3. Your responses will
help assess whether the system effectively recognizes and rewards faculty
excellence, supports faculty development, and promotes accountability. All responses
will remain confidential.

⊕

---------------------------------- Page Break ----------------------------------

Q2

Overall Evaluation System Effectiveness

Q3 | To what extent, if at all, is the current evaluation system set up to: (1 = Not at all, 5 = To   💡

To what extent, if at all, is the current evaluation system set up to:

	1 = Not at all	2	3	4	5 = to a great extent	🚫 Not Applicable
recognize and reward excellence throughout the evolution of one's career?	○	○	○	○	○	○
promote accountability?	○	○	○	○	○	○
support ongoing faculty development and improvement?	○	○	○	○	○	○
be administered fairly?	○	○	○	○	○	○

Q4

Comments on evaluation system effectiveness:

-------------------------------------- Page Break --------------------------------------

Q5

Standards and Criteria

Q6

How satisfied are you with the current structure of the University Guiding Standards & Criteria in the annual evaluation of your performance in:

	1 = Not at all	2	3	4	5 = Very Satisfied	🚫 Not Applicable
Teaching	○	○	○	○	○	○
Scholarship	○	○	○	○	○	○
Service	○	○	○	○	○	○
Librarianship	○	○	○	○	○	○

Q7

What comments do you have on standards and criteria?

-------------------------------------- Page Break --------------------------------------

Q8

Annual Evaluation Process

Q9

To what extent does your merit evaluation letter (written by your department head in June) provide meaningful feedback related to your performance?

1 = Not at all	2	3	4	5 = To a great extent
○	○	○	○	○

Q10

Comments about the merit evaluation letter process:

-- Page Break --

Q11

Evaluation Process

Q12

What kinds of evidence best convey your accomplishments in teaching, service, research and librarianship (as applicable)?

Q13

How important is it to simplify the process of submitting evidence to SharePoint?

1 = Not important	3	4	5 = Very important	⊘ Not Applicable
○	○	○	○	○

Q14

Do you have suggestions about how to improve the process of submitting evidence to SharePoint?

◀ ▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬ ▶

Q15

To what extent, if at all, do the current processes allow you to tell your story effectively?

1 = Not at all	2	3	4	5 = To a great extent
○	○	○	○	○

Q16

What changes to the current process, if any, would help you to tell your story more effectively?

◀ ▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬▬ ▶

Q17

What, if anything, would you change about the evaluation process?

-- Page Break --

Q18

Demographics *(Optional)*

Q19

1. Employment Type:

Tenured	Tenure-Track	Renewable Term	Term	Adjunct	Prefer to not say
○	○	○	○	○	○

Q20

2. Rank:

			Other (please specify)	
Assistant	Associate	Professor / Senior		Prefer to not say
○	○	○	○	○

Q21

3. College:

CHAS	CSBS	COE	WLSN	LIB	Prefer to not say
○	○	○	○	○	○

Q22

4. How long have you been employed at UNI?

0-5 years	5-10 years	10-15 years	15-20 years	20-25 years	25-30 years	30+ years	Prefer to not say
○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Q23

Thank you for your time and feedback!
Faculty Handbook Committee Members

Import from library   + Add new question

Add Block

End of Survey

We thank you for your time spent taking this survey.

Your response has been recorded.